

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/245,596	KUTCHMAREK ET AL.
	Examiner Stephen Choi	Art Unit 3724

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) S. Choi. (3) M. Doherty.
 (2) K. Peterson. (4) S. Loth.

Date of Interview: 13 February 2001.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant will submit an amendment after final combining claims 38-39 to overcome the rejections under 102(b). Applicant's argument will be considered with regards to 103 (a) rejection on claim 39.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


S. Choi
 Examiner's signature, if required